UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

RALPH HOWARD BLAKELY,

Petitioner,

v.

KENNETH ANTHONY QUINN,

Respondent.

NO. CV-07-316-RHW

ORDER GRANTING EXTENSION OF TIME INTER ALIA

Before the Court are Petitioner's Motion for Summary Judgment (Ct. Rec. 14), Respondent's Motion for an Extension of Time (Ct. Rec. 17), Petitioner's Motion for Order of Temporary Removal and Transport (Ct. Rec. 23), and Petitioner's Motions for Appointment of Counsel (Ct. Recs. 26 & 29). These motions were heard without oral argument.

The Court received Petitioner's Petition for Relief under § 2254 on October 9, 2007. He was permitted to proceed *in forma pauperis* and filed the petition on November 2, 2007. After review of the Petition for legal sufficiency, the Court ordered it served on March 10, 2008 (Ct. Rec. 9). The Petition was served by the U.S. Marshal's Service on March 17, 2008, and on March 25, 2008, attorney John Samson entered a notice of appearance on behalf of Respondent. On March 26, 2008, Petitioner filed his motion for summary judgment.

On April 1, 2008, Respondent, in lieu of a response to Petitioner's motion, filed a motion for an extension of time to answer. Respondent notes that the order directing service gives him 45 days to answer the Petition, making the answer due on April 28, 2008. Respondent also submits that to properly respond to a habeas

ORDER GRANTING EXTENSION OF TIME INTER ALIA * 1

corpus petition he must provide copies of relevant documents from Petitioner's state court proceedings. These court files are necessary to determine whether Petitioner properly exhausted his claims, whether the petition is timely, and to respond to the merits of the claims. Respondent stated that he had not yet received all of the files, and that an extension of time is necessary to obtain the remaining files and to prepare a proper answer to the habeas corpus petition and to Petitioner's motion for summary judgment. Respondent requested an extension until May 28, 2008, to file both the answer to the Petition and a response to the motion for summary judgment. Although the Court recognizes Petitioner's objections to granting such an extension, the Court deems the request reasonable and grants it.

Petitioner also filed two motions for appointment of counsel and a motion for temporary removal and transport. In his motions for appointment of counsel, Petitioner states that he is 72 years old and suffers from psychological instability and a deteriorating physical condition. Under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e), the Court has discretion to designate counsel to represent an indigent civil litigant. This discretion may be exercised only in "exceptional circumstances." *Wilborn v. Escalderon*, 789 F.2d 1328, 1331 (9th Cir. 1986) (citations omitted). The district court must evaluate both "the likelihood of success on the merits" and "the ability of the petitioner to articulate his claims *pro se* in light of the complexity of the legal issues involved." *Id.* It does not appear that the legal issues in this case are so complex that Plaintiff cannot present them *pro se*. Plaintiff has shown an adequate ability to articulate his claims without this Court taking the extraordinary step of appointing counsel to represent him.

Mr. Blakely also filed a motion for temporary removal and transport so that he could be present at his hearing for his summary judgment motion. The Constitution does not require the physical presence of the petitioner at every hearing in a habeas corpus proceeding. *Wade v. Calderon*, 29 F.3d 1312, 1325

1	(9th Cir. 1994). This hearing is one that (1) did not actually take place because it
2	was noted without oral argument, and (2) will not include issues for which
3	Petitioner's presence will be required. Therefore, the Court denies this motion.
4	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:
5	1. Petitioner's Motion for Summary Judgment (Ct. Rec. 14) is
6	RESERVED.
7	2. Respondent's Motion for an Extension of Time (Ct. Rec. 17) is
8	GRANTED . Respondent shall file his answer to the Petition and his response to
9	Petitioner's motion for summary judgment on or before May 28, 2008.
10	3. Petitioner's Motion for Order of Temporary Removal and Transport (Ct.
11	Rec. 23) is DENIED .
12	4. Petitioner's Motions for Appointment of Counsel (Ct. Recs. 26 & 29) are
13	DENIED.
14	IT IS SO ORDERED. The District Court Executive is directed to enter this
15	Order and forward copies to counsel.
16	DATED this 22 nd day of May, 2008.
17	S/ Robert H. Whaley
18	ROBERT H. WHALEY
19	Chief United States District Judge
20	
21	
22	
23	Q:\CIVIL\2007\Blakely\extension.ord.wpd
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	